## A Lattice Theory for Solving Games of Imperfect Information

Martin De Wulf, Laurent Doyen, and Jean-François Raskin

#### HSCC'06 - March 31st - Santa Barbara, CA



#### Motivation

Controller Generation

? || Env avoid Bad

#### Controller

- Two-Player Games :
  - Controller = Player I
  - Environment = Player 2
- We are looking for a winning strategy for Player I

## **ULB** Imperfect Information = Finite Precision



#### A Game Example

2

a



## **ULB** Imperfect Observations

![](_page_4_Figure_1.jpeg)

One observation, many possible states

#### Imperfect Observations

![](_page_5_Figure_1.jpeg)

ULB

One state, many possible observations

## **Memory is needed to win**

![](_page_6_Figure_1.jpeg)

Obs I

Player I cannot win with a strategy based only on the current observation

## Games / Strategies

**Definition 1** [Two-player games] A two-player game is a tuple  $\langle S, S_0, \Sigma, \rightarrow \rangle$ .

**Definition 2** [Observation set] An observation set of S is a couple  $(Obs, \gamma)$  where  $\gamma : Obs \to 2^S$ .

**Definition 3** [Observation based strategy] An observation based strategy is a function  $\lambda : \mathsf{Obs}^+ \to \Sigma$ .

Our objective is to find an algorithm to construct strategies that avoid a set of Bad states.

![](_page_8_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Games / Strategies

**Definition 1** [Two-player games] A two-player game is a tuple  $\langle S, S_0, \Sigma, \rightarrow \rangle$ .

![](_page_8_Figure_3.jpeg)

Our objective is to find an algorithm to construct strategies that avoid a set of **Bad** states.

## Games / Strategies

**Definition 1** [Two-player games] A *two-player game* is a tuple  $\langle S, S_0, \Sigma, \rightarrow \rangle$ .

![](_page_9_Figure_3.jpeg)

## **Classical Approaches**

- For games of perfect information :
  - fixed point algorithm using a controllable predecessor operator
- For games of incomplete information
  - [Reif84] build a game of perfect information using a kind of subset construction

## A fixed point algorithm

We define a controllable predecessor operator for a set of sets of states q

$$\mathsf{CPre}(q) = \{ s \subseteq \mathsf{Bad} \mid \exists \sigma \in \Sigma : \forall \mathsf{obs} \in \mathsf{Obs} : \exists s' \in q : \mathsf{Post}_{\sigma}(s) \cap \gamma(\mathsf{obs}) \subseteq s' \}$$

(i) s does not intersect with **Bad**,

(ii) there exists  $\sigma$ s.t. the set of possible successors of s by  $\sigma$ is

covered by *q*(a) no matter how the adversary resolves non-determinism,
(b) no matter the compatible observation Obs

#### Example

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Example

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Cpre({A,B})= Blue sets

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Maximal sets

If there is a strategy for set A, there is a strategy for any B included in A

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

It is enough to keep only the maximal sets

 $\mathsf{CPre}(q) = [\{s \subseteq \overline{\mathsf{Bad}} \mid \exists \sigma \in \varSigma \cdot \forall \mathsf{obs} \in \mathsf{Obs} \cdot \exists s' \in q : \mathsf{Post}_{\sigma}(s) \cap \gamma(\mathsf{obs}) \subseteq s'\}]$ 

## Antichains

**Definition 4** [Antichain of sets of states] An *antichain* on the partially ordered set  $\langle 2^S, \subseteq \rangle$  is a set  $q \subseteq 2^S$  such that for any  $A, B \in q$  we have  $A \not\subset B$ .

Let us call L the set of antichains on S.

**Definition 5**  $[\sqsubseteq]$  Let  $q, q' \in 2^{2^S}$  and define  $q \sqsubseteq q'$  if and only if

 $\forall A \in q : \exists A' \in q' : A \subseteq A'$ 

 $\langle L, \sqsubseteq \rangle$  is a complete lattice.

The minimal element is  $\emptyset$ , the maximal element  $\{S\}$ .

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### CPre over antichains

 $\mathsf{CPre}(q) = [\{s \subseteq \overline{\mathsf{Bad}} \mid \exists \sigma \in \varSigma \cdot \forall \mathsf{obs} \in \mathsf{Obs} \cdot \exists s' \in q : \mathsf{Post}_{\sigma}(s) \cap \gamma(\mathsf{obs}) \subseteq s'\}]$ 

- CPre is a monotone function over the lattice of antichains
- CPre has a least and a greatest fixed point

Advantage : we only keep the needed information to find a strategy

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Main theorem

#### Let $G = \langle S, S_0, \Sigma, \rightarrow, Obs, \gamma \rangle$

be a two-player game of imperfect information. Player I has a winning observation based strategy to avoid Bad, **iff** 

 $\{S_0 \cap \gamma(\mathsf{obs}) \mid \mathsf{obs} \in \mathsf{Obs}\} \sqsubseteq \bigcup \{q \mid q = \mathsf{CPre}(q)\}.$ 

We can extract a strategy from the fixed point

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Complexity for finite state games

- The imperfect information control problem is EXPTIME-complete
- There exist finite state games of incomplete information for which the algorithm of [Rei84] requires an exponential time where our algorithm needs only polynomial time

## **ULB** Infinite state games

•We drop the assumption that S if finite

- •We can use our fixed point algorithm if
  - •There exists a finite quotient of the state space
  - •Post, Enabled,  $\gamma$  are definable using this quotient

**Application : Discrete Time Control of RHA** 

#### Discrete time control of RHA

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

- •Player 2 (env.) resolves nondeterminism
- (in discrete and continuous steps).

#### Discrete time control of RHA

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Discrete time control of RHA

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_0.jpeg)

The Strategy

![](_page_24_Figure_0.jpeg)

## **ULB** Conclusion/Perspectives

- A lattice theory to solve games of imperfect information, those games are needed to make the synthesis of robust controllers (= finite precision).
- Our technique computes only the needed information to find a strategy
- Applicable to discrete time control of RHA
- Define games whose controllers are implementable
- Are antichains useful for other purposes? The answer is YES

- [ACH<sup>+</sup>95] R. Alur, C. Courcoubetis, N. Halbwachs, T.A. Henzinger, P.-H. Ho, X. Nicollin, A. Olivero, J. Sifakis, and S. Yovine. The algorithmic analysis of hybrid systems. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 138:3–34, 1995.
- [AD94] Rajeev Alur and David L. Dill. A theory of timed automata. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 126(2):183–235, 1994.
- [AHK02] R. Alur, T.A. Henzinger, and O. Kupferman. Alternating-time temporal logic. *Journal of the ACM*, 49:672–713, 2002.
- [CC77] Patrick Cousot and Radhia Cousot. Abstract interpretation: A unified lattice model for static analysis of programs by construction or approximation of fixpoints. In *POPL*, pages 238–252, 1977.
- [DDR06] M. De Wulf, L. Doyen, and J.-F. Raskin. A lattice theory for solving games of imperfect information (extended version). Technical Report 58, U.L.B. Federated Center in Verification, 2006. http://www.ulb.ac.be/di/ssd/cfv/publications.html.
- [HHWT95] T.A. Henzinger, P.-H. Ho, and H. Wong-Toi. A user guide to HYTECH. In TACAS 95: Tools and Algorithms for the Construction and Analysis of Systems, Lecture Notes in Computer Science 1019, pages 41–71. Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [HK99] T.A. Henzinger and P.W. Kopke. Discrete-time control for rectangular hybrid automata. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 221:369–392, 1999.
- [MPS95] O. Maler, A. Pnueli, and J. Sifakis. On the synthesis of discrete controllers for timed systems. In *STACS'95*, volume 900 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 229–242. Springer, 1995.
- [Rei84] John H. Reif. The complexity of two-player games of incomplete information. Journal of Computer and System Sciences, 29(2):274–301, 1984.