# A Bilattice-Based Trust Model for Personalizing Recommendations

#### Patricia Victor, Chris Cornelis, Martine De Cock

Computational Web Intelligence Ghent University, Belgium



## **Outline**

o Social Networks on the Web

o Trust Networks

o Bilattice-Based Trust Model

1

o Trust Propagation

## **Social Networks on the Web**



## **Social Networks on the Web**



## **Social Networks in RSs**







# **KEY PROBLEMS**

• Malicious users (intruders)

o Sparsity of the dataset

o Cold start (new) users







5



#### **Solution: Trust Networks in RSs**



### **Solution: Trust Networks in RSs**



#### BINARY

#### **ONLY TRUST**

#### BINARY

... But what about:

"I trust him very much" /

"Rather low trust" / ...

#### **ONLY TRUST**

#### BINARY

... But what about:

"I trust him very much" /

"Rather low trust" / ...

#### **ONLY TRUST**

... But what about:

No trust? Caused by ...

Distrust / lack of knowledge

#### BINARY

... But what about:

"I trust him very much" /

"Rather low trust" / ...

#### **ONLY TRUST**

... But what about:

No trust? Caused by ...

Distrust / lack of knowledge



**GRADUAL TRUST AND DISTRUST** 

#### 1. Large networks: Many agents do not know each other

#### **\*\* IGNORANCE \*\***

#### 1. Large networks: Many agents do not know each other

#### **\*\* IGNORANCE \*\***

-  $B \text{ distrusts } D \rightarrow trust=0$  $C \text{ does not know } D \rightarrow trust=0$ 

#### 1. Large networks: Many agents do not know each other

#### **\*\* IGNORANCE \*\***

- $B \text{ distrusts } D \rightarrow trust=0$  $C \text{ does not know } D \rightarrow trust=0$
- B trusts C 0.5 and distrusts C 0.2
   → trust=0.5?
   → trust=0.5-0.2=0.3? (Guha et al.)

#### 2. Lack of central authority: Agents may provide different and/or contradictory information

#### **\*\* INCONSISTENCY \*\***

#### 2. Lack of central authority: Agents may provide different and/or contradictory information

#### **\*\* INCONSISTENCY \*\***



→ (0+1)/2=0.5?
→ 0?

o "Trust problem" caused by:

- presence of distrust
  - => untrustworthy agents
- lack of knowledge
   vinknown agent
  - => unknown agents
- "Knowledge problem" caused by:
  - too little information
  - too much, contradictory information

• "Trust problem" caused by:

- presence of distrust
  - => untrustworthy agents
- lack of knowledge
   vinknown agent
  - => unknown agents
- "Knowledge problem" caused by:
  - too little information
  - too much, contradictory information











## Trust score space $BL^{\Box} = ([0,1]^2, \leq t, \leq k)$







# **Trust Propagation**



Experiment: How do people act when receiving information from a (dis)trusted acquaintance or from a stranger?

**Question 1/20 :** You hear a *complete stranger* talking to his friend: "Personally, I don't know anything about movie M, but I asked *some guy I don't know* if he had seen the movie, and he said he liked M a lot, and greatly recommended it to me".



http://www.cwi.ugent.be/patricia.html

Experiment: How do people act when receiving information from a (dis)trusted acquaintance or from a stranger?

**Question 1/20 :** You hear a *complete stranger* talking to his friend: "Personally, I don't know anything about movie M, but I asked *some guy I don't know* if he had seen the movie, and he said he liked M a lot, and greatly recommended it to me".



http://www.cwi.ugent.be/patricia.html

Experiment: How do people act when receiving information from a (dis)trusted acquaintance or from a stranger?

**Question 1/20 :** You hear a *complete stranger* alking to his friend: "Personally, I don't know anything about movie M, but I asked *some guy I don't know* if he had seen the movie, and he said he liked M a lot, and greatly recommended it to me".



http://www.cwi.ugent.be/patricia.html

Experiment: How do people act when receiving information from a (dis)trusted acquaintance or from a stranger?

**Question 1/20 :** You hear a *complete stranger* talking to his friend: "Personally, I don't know anything about movie M, but I asked *some guy I don't know* if he had seen the movie, and he said he liked M a lot, and greatly recommended it to me".



http://www.cwi.ugent.be/patricia.html

Experiment: How do people act when receiving information from a (dis)trusted acquaintance or from a stranger?

**Question 1/20 :** You hear a *complete stranger* talking to his friend: "Personally, I don't know anything about movie M, but I asked *some guy I don't know* if he had seen the movie, and he said he liked M a lot, and greatly recommended it to me".



http://www.cwi.ugent.be/patricia.html

Question 1/20: You hear a *complete stranger* talking to his friend: 'Personally, I don't know anything about movie M, but I've just asked *some guy I don't know* if he had seen the movie, and he said he liked M a lot, and greatly recommended it to me'.

What do you do with this advice?

<sup>C</sup> Fully accept. You accept the advice wholeheartedly. Unless an even better recommendation comes along, you'll choose M.
 <sup>C</sup> Rather accept. You accept the recommendation as mild (non-compelling) evidence in favour of M. In case this is the only information (about any movie) you obtained, you'd probably go for M.

C Ignore. It doesn't have an influence on your decision whether to watch M or not.

C Rather reject. You don't have much faith in this recommendation; it actually makes M a less attractive alternative.

C Fully reject. You will definitely not watch M.

O Don't know. This recommendation confuses you: it gives you reasons both to see M, and not to see it.



Question 1/20: You hear a *complete stranger* talking to his friend: 'Personally, I don't know anything about movie M, but I've just asked *some guy I don't know* if he had seen the movie, and he said he liked M a lot, and greatly recommended it to me'.

What do you do with this advice?

C Fully accept. You accept the advice wholeheartedly. Unless an even better recommendation comes along, you'll choose M.
C Rather accept. You accept the recommendation as mild (non-compelling) evidence in favour of M. In case this is the only nformation (about any movie) you obtained, you'd probably go for M.

Ignore. It doesn't have an influence on your decision whether to watch M or not.

Rather reject. You don't have much faith in this recommendation; it actually makes M a less attractive alternative.

Fully reject. You will definitely not watch M.

Don't know. This recommendation confuses you: it gives you reasons both to see M, and not to see it.



Question 1/20: You hear a *complete stranger* talking to his friend: 'Personally, I don't know anything about movie M, but I've just asked *some guy I don't know* if he had seen the movie, and he said he liked M a lot, and greatly recommended it to me'.

What do you do with this advice?



(0,1)

Fully accept. You accept the advice wholeheartedly. Unless an even better recommendation comes along, you'll choose M.
C Rather accept. You accept the recommendation as mild (non-compelling) evidence in favour of M. In case this is the only information (about any movie) you obtained, you'd probably go for M.

- 🔊 Ignore. It doesn't have an influence on your decision whether to watch M or not.
- C Rather reject. You don't have much faith in this recommendation; it actually makes M a less attractive alternative.
- 🕫 Fully reject. You will definitely not watch M.
- Don't know. This recommendation confuses you: it gives you reasons both to see M, and not to see it.



Question 1/20: You hear a *complete stranger* talking to his friend: 'Personally, I don't know anything about movie M, but I've just asked *some guy I don't know* if he had seen the movie, and he said he liked M a lot, and greatly recommended it to me'.

What do you do with this advice?

(0.5,0)

(0,0.5)

(0.5, 0.5)

Fully accept. You accept the advice wholeheartedly. Unless an even better recommendation comes along, you'll choose M. Rather accept. You accept the recommendation as mild (non-compelling) evidence in favour of M. In case this is the only information (about any movie) you obtained, you'd probably go for M.

C Ignore. It doesn't have an influence on your decision whether to watch M or not.

🗧 Rather reject. You don't have much faith in this recommendation; it actually makes M a less attractive alternative.

C Fully reject. You will definitely not watch M.

Don't know. This recommendation confuses you: it gives you reasons both to see M, and not to see it.



#### **PROFILE I**:

- \* The friend of your enemy is your enemy
- \* The enemy of your enemy is your friend



Trust of A in C: t3 = S(T(t1,t2),T(d1,d2))

Distrust of A in C: d3 = S(T(t1,d2),T(d1,t2))

#### **PROFILE I**:

- \* The friend of your enemy is your enemy
- \* The enemy of your enemy is your friend



Trust of A in C: t3 = S(T(t1,t2),T(d1,d2))

Distrust of A in C: d3 = S(T(t1,d2),T(d1,t2))

#### **PROFILE I**:

- \* The friend of your enemy is your enemy
- \* The enemy of your enemy is your friend





Trust of A in C:

Distrust of A in C:

d3 = S(T(t1,d2),T(d1,t2))

#### **PROFILE I**:

- \* The friend of your enemy is your enemy
- \* The enemy of your enemy is your friend





Distrust of A in C: d3 = S(T(t1,d2),T(d1,t2))

#### **PROFILE I**:

- \* The friend of your enemy is your enemy
- \* The enemy of your enemy is your friend





Distrust of A in C: **d3 = S(T(0,1),T(1,0))** 

#### **PROFILE I**:

- \* The friend of your enemy is your enemy
- \* The enemy of your enemy is your friend





Distrust of A in C: **0** = **S**(**T**(**0**,**1**),**T**(**1**,**0**))

#### **PROFILE II**:

- \* The friend of your enemy is your enemy
  \* The enemy of your enemy
- is NOT your friend



Trust of A in C: t3 = T(t1,t2)

Distrust of A in C: d3

d3 = S(T(t1,d2),T(d1,t2))

#### **PROFILE II**:

- \* The friend of your enemy is your enemy\* The enemy of your enemy
- is NOT your friend



Trust of A in C: t3 = T(t1,t2)

Distrust of A in C: d3 = S(T(t1,d2),T(d1,t2))

#### **PROFILE II**:

- \* The friend of your enemy is your enemy\* The enemy of your enemy
- is NOT your friend



Trust of A in C: **t3 = T(0,0)** 

Distrust of A in C:

d3 = S(T(0,1),T(1,0))

#### **PROFILE II**:

- \* The friend of your enemy is your enemy
  \* The enemy of your enemy
- is NOT your friend



Trust of A in C:  $\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})$ 

Distrust of A in C: **0** = **S**(**T**(**0**,**1**),**T**(**1**,**0**))

# **Future Work**

#### 1. Other propagation profiles??

- skeptical
- paranoid
- benefit of the doubt
- ...

#### 2. Aggregation of trust scores??



# **Future Work**

#### 1. Other propagation profiles??

- skeptical
- paranoid
- benefit of the doubt
- ...

#### 2. Aggregation of trust scores??



# **Future Work**

#### 1. Other propagation profiles??

- skeptical
- paranoid
- benefit of the doubt
- ...

#### 2. Aggregation of trust scores??



### Conclusions



# **Conclusions**



New	Full and Partial
bilattice	Trust/Distrust/Ignorance
Trust Model	+ Inconsistency

# **Conclusions**



New	Full and Partial
bilattice	Trust/Distrust/Ignorance
Trust Model	+ Inconsistency

Enriched	Propagation
with	→ 2 profiles ?
Operations	Aggregation → ? ? ?

# Thank you for listening!

http://www.cwi.ugent.be/patricia.html