

Notes adapted from lecture notes for CMSC 421 by B.J. Dorr

Artificial Intelligence 1: logic agents

Lecturer: Tom Lenaerts

Institut de Recherches Interdisciplinaires et de
Développements en Intelligence Artificielle
(IRIDIA)

Université Libre de Bruxelles

“Thinking Rationally”

- Computational models of human “thought” processes
- Computational models of human behavior
- Computational systems that “think” rationally
- Computational systems that behave rationally

Logical Agents


- Reflex agents find their way from Arad to Bucharest by dumb luck
- Chess program calculates legal moves of its king, but doesn't know that no piece can be on 2 different squares at the same time
- Logic (Knowledge-Based) agents combine general knowledge with current percepts to infer hidden aspects of current state prior to selecting actions
 - **Crucial in partially observable environments**

Outline

- Knowledge-based agents
- Wumpus world
- Logic in general
- Propositional and first-order logic
 - **Inference, validity, equivalence and satisfiability**
 - **Reasoning patterns**
 - Resolution
 - Forward/backward chaining

Knowledge Base

Knowledge Base : set of sentences represented in a knowledge representation language and represents assertions about the world.



Inference rule: when one ASKs questions of the KB, the answer should *follow* from what has been TELLED to the KB previously.

Generic KB-Based Agent

function KB-AGENT(*percept*) **returns an** *action*

static: *KB*, a knowledge base

t, a counter, initially 0, indicating time

```
TELL(KB, MAKE-PERCEPT-SENTENCE(percept, t))
```

```
action ← ASK(KB, MAKE-ACTION-QUERY(t))
```

```
TELL(KB, MAKE-ACTION-SENTENCE(action, t))
```

```
t ← t + 1
```

```
return action
```


Abilities KB agent

- Agent must be able to:
 - **Represent states and actions,**
 - **Incorporate new percepts**
 - **Update internal representation of the world**
 - **Deduce hidden properties of the world**
 - **Deduce appropriate actions**

Description level

- The KB agent is similar to agents with internal state
- Agents can be described at different levels
 - **Knowledge level**
 - What they know, regardless of the actual implementation. (Declarative description)
 - **Implementation level**
 - Data structures in KB and algorithms that manipulate them e.g propositional logic and resolution.

A Typical Wumpus World



November 16, 2004

9

Wumpus World PEAS Description

Performance measure

gold +1000, death -1000
-1 per step, -10 for using the arrow

Environment

Squares adjacent to wumpus are smelly
Squares adjacent to pit are breezy
Glitter iff gold is in the same square
Shooting kills wumpus if you are facing it
Shooting uses up the only arrow
Grabbing picks up gold if in same square
Releasing drops the gold in same square

Sensors Breeze, Glitter, Smell

Actuators Left turn, Right turn,
Forward, Grab, Release, Shoot

November 16, 2004

TLo (IRIDIA)

10

Wumpus World Characterization

- Observable?
- Deterministic?
- Episodic?
- Static?
- Discrete?
- Single-agent?

November 16, 2004

TLo (IRIDIA)

11

Wumpus World Characterization

- Observable? No, only local perception
- Deterministic?
- Episodic?
- Static?
- Discrete?
- Single-agent?

November 16, 2004

TLo (IRIDIA)

12

Wumpus World Characterization

- Observable? No, only local perception
- Deterministic? Yes, outcome exactly specified
- Episodic?
- Static?
- Discrete?
- Single-agent?

Wumpus World Characterization

- Observable? No, only local perception
- Deterministic? Yes, outcome exactly specified
- Episodic? No, sequential at the level of actions
- Static?
- Discrete?
- Single-agent?

Wumpus World Characterization

- Observable? No, only local perception
- Deterministic? Yes, outcome exactly specified
- Episodic? No, sequential at the level of actions
- Static? Yes, Wumpus and pits do not move
- Discrete?
- Single-agent?

Wumpus World Characterization

- Observable? No, only local perception
- Deterministic? Yes, outcome exactly specified
- Episodic? No, sequential at the level of actions
- Static? Yes, Wumpus and pits do not move
- Discrete? Yes
- Single-agent?

Wumpus World Characterization

- Observable? No, only local perception
- Deterministic? Yes, outcome exactly specified
- Episodic? No, sequential at the level of actions
- Static? Yes, Wumpus and pits do not move
- Discrete? Yes
- Single-agent? Yes, Wumpus is essentially a natural feature.

November 16, 2004

TLo (IRIDIA)

17

Exploring the Wumpus World

1,4	2,4	3,4	4,4
1,3	2,3	3,3	4,3
1,2	2,2	3,2	4,2
OK			
1,1	2,1	3,1	4,1
A OK	OK		

(a)

1,4	2,4	3,4	4,4
1,3	2,3	3,3	4,3
1,2	2,2	3,2	4,2
OK			
1,1	2,1	3,1	4,1
V OK	A B OK	P?	

(b)

A = Agent
B = Breeze
G = Glitter, Gold
OK = Safe square
P = Pit
S = Stench
V = Visited
W = Wumpus

- [1,1] The KB initially contains the rules of the environment. The first percept is [none, none, none, none], move to safe cell e.g. 2,1
- [2,1] breeze which indicates that there is a pit in [2,2] or [3,1], return to [1,1] to try next safe cell

November 16, 2004

TLo (IRIDIA)

18

Exploring the Wumpus World

1,4	2,4	3,4	4,4
1,3 W:	2,3	3,3	4,3
1,2 A S OK	2,2 OK	3,2	4,2
1,1 V OK	2,1 B V OK	3,1 P:	4,1

A = Agent
B = Breeze
G = Glitter, Gold
OK = Safe square
P = Pit
S = Stench
V = Visited
W = Wumpus

1,4	2,4 P:	3,4	4,4
1,3 W:	2,3 A S G B	3,3 P:	4,3
1,2 S V OK	2,2 V OK	3,2	4,2
1,1 V OK	2,1 B V OK	3,1 P:	4,1

(a)

(b)

[1,2] Stench in cell which means that wumpus is in [1,3] or [2,2]
 YET ... not in [1,1]
 YET ... not in [2,2] or stench would have been detected in [2,1]
 THUS ... wumpus is in [1,3]
 THUS [2,2] is safe because of lack of breeze in [1,2]
 THUS pit in [1,3]
 move to next safe cell [2,2]

November 16, 2004

TLo (IRIDIA)

19

Exploring the Wumpus World

1,4	2,4	3,4	4,4
1,3 W:	2,3	3,3	4,3
1,2 A S OK	2,2 OK	3,2	4,2
1,1 V OK	2,1 B V OK	3,1 P:	4,1

A = Agent
B = Breeze
G = Glitter, Gold
OK = Safe square
P = Pit
S = Stench
V = Visited
W = Wumpus

1,4	2,4 P:	3,4	4,4
1,3 W:	2,3 A S G B	3,3 P:	4,3
1,2 S V OK	2,2 V OK	3,2	4,2
1,1 V OK	2,1 B V OK	3,1 P:	4,1

(a)

(b)

[2,2] move to [2,3]
 [2,3] detect glitter, smell, breeze
 THUS pick up gold
 THUS pit in [3,3] or [2,4]

November 16, 2004

TLo (IRIDIA)

20

What is a logic?

- A formal language
 - **Syntax – what expressions are legal (well-formed)**
 - **Semantics – what legal expressions mean**
 - in logic the truth of each sentence with respect to each possible world.
- E.g the language of arithmetic
 - **$X+2 \geq y$ is a sentence, x^2+y is not a sentence**
 - **$X+2 \geq y$ is true in a world where $x=7$ and $y=1$**
 - **$X+2 \geq y$ is false in a world where $x=0$ and $y=6$**

Entailment

- One thing follows from another
$$KB \models \alpha$$
- KB entails sentence α if and only if α is true in worlds where KB is true.
- E.g. $x+y=4$ entails $4=x+y$
- Entailment is a relationship between sentences that is based on semantics.

Models

- Logicians typically think in terms of models, which are formally structured worlds with respect to which truth can be evaluated.
- m is a model of a sentence α if α is true in m
- $M(\alpha)$ is the set of all models of α

November 16, 2004

TLo (IRIDIA)


23

Wumpus world model

Situation after detecting nothing in [1,1],
moving right, breeze in [2,1]

Consider possible models for ?s
assuming only pits

3 Boolean choices \Rightarrow 8 possible models




November 16, 2004

TLo (IRIDIA)

24

Wumpus world model




November 16, 2004

TLo (IRIDIA)

25

Wumpus world model




$KB = \text{wumpus-world rules} + \text{observations}$

November 16, 2004

TLo (IRIDIA)

26


Wumpus world model



KB = wumpus-world rules + observations


α_1 = "[1,2] is safe", $KB \models \alpha_1$, proved by model checking

Wumpus world model



KB = wumpus-world rules + observations

Wumpus world model




KB = wumpus-world rules \cup observations

α_2 = "[2,2] is safe", $KB \not\models \alpha_2$

Logical inference

- The notion of entailment can be used for logic inference.
 - **Model checking (see wumpus example): enumerate all possible models and check whether α is true.**
- If an algorithm only derives entailed sentences it is called *sound* or *truth preserving*.
 - **Otherwise it just makes things up.**
 i is sound if whenever $KB \models \alpha$ it is also true that $KB \models i$
- **Completeness** : the algorithm can derive any sentence that is entailed.
 i is complete if whenever $KB \models \alpha$ it is also true that $KB \models i$

Schematic perspective



If KB is true in the real world, then any sentence α derived From KB by a sound inference procedure is also true in the real world.